

2024 STATE LEGISLATIVE CANDIDATE QUESTIONNAIRE: COLORADO

Candidate Name:	
Candidate Address:	
Office Sought:	District:
Staff Contact Name:	Phone:
Email:	Website:

Animals play an important role in the lives of many Americans. Countless citizens look to their state government to protect animals from cruel treatment and exploitation. Humane-minded individuals care deeply about the positions their elected officials take on animal protection issues.

For information on the range and details of our issue areas, please visit the websites of the Humane Society Legislative Fund (hslf.org) or the Humane Society of the United States (humanesociety.org).

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

What actions have you taken to promote the welfare of animals in the past? (Please include any action taken in an official or unofficial context.)

Are there any animal welfare programs or issues of importance to you that you would take the initiative on after you are elected?
Will you post information in your campaign materials stating your positions on animal protection issues?
Do you have, or have you had in the past, any pets that have made an impact on you personally?
Be you have, or have you had in the pact, any pote that have made an impact on you personally.

STATE ISSUES:

Members of state legislatures influence animal protection policy by sponsoring or cosponsoring humane legislation, opposing government programs that use taxpayer funds to harm animals, supporting funding for government programs to protect animals and for adequate enforcement of animal welfare laws, and opposing inhumane laws or those which weaken current protections. We would like to know your position on the following important animal welfare issues, which are subject to debate in your state.

PETS AND CRUELTY

Puppy Mills: Internet sales, flea markets, face-to-face sales and many pet stores that sell puppies are getting those dogs from puppy mills. A puppy mill is an inhumane, high-volume commercial dog breeding facility which churns out puppies for profit, ignoring the needs of the sick, unsocialized pups and their mothers. Pet retailers often falsely assure consumers that the puppies they offer for sale are raised in reputable or humane breeding facilities, when really, they are coming from mass production puppy mills—most of which are out of state, sending truck shipments of animals into Colorado for sale.

Will you support legislation to end the retail sale of puppies sourced from puppy mills in pet stores?	
YesNoNot Sure	
Breed-Specific Legislation: Across the United States, entire communities ban or restrict dogs because of their breed, or more commonly, what is perceived to be their breed. Breed-based policies aren't founded on science or credible data, but on myths and misinformation surrounding different breeds and their natures. The impact of these policies on dogs, families and animal shelters, though, is heartbreakingly real. Breed bans and restrictions force dogs out of homes and into shelters, taking up kennel space and resources that are already scarce.	
On June 8 th of 2023, Gov. Polis signed <u>HB23-1068</u> into law, prohibiting insurers from denying homeowners insurance or raising premiums based on the breed of a dog. Although we are working towards more pet-friendly housing policies in Colorado, we know that the vast majority of homeowners associations still maintain their own restrictions on dog size and breed. Will you support legislation to prohibit breed-based HOA policies?	
Yes No Not Sure	
WILDLIFE	
Public Contact: While exciting to see up close, wild animals are altogether unfit for public contact. Substandard wildlife zoos or attractions in Colorado, offering direct animal interaction, exploit these animals to turn a quick profit, often with such neglect that horror stories have been recorded through undercover investigations. Not only do wild animals suffer cruelly when captured, transported, or placed in close proximity to humans—the risks they then pose to human health and safety are enormous. Cases of aggression may prove life-threatening, as may a host of zoonotic diseases. For example, the "interactive" aquarium SeaQuest in Littleton closed its doors this year after complaints that members of the public had been injured by the animals. Yet, similar businesses are still allowed to operate in Colorado—just outside of Alamosa, a facility with over 300 alligators allows visitors to pay to wrestle with the animals. Will you support legislation to prohibit direct public contact with wild animals (including alligators and marine life) in	
Colorado?	
Yes No Not Sure	
Live Trapping: In 1996, Colorado voters banned the recreational and commercial snare trapping of bobcats, foxes, and other wildlife on public lands. However, Colorado Parks and Wildlife still allows trappers to take and kill these animals for their fur and for trophies using "live," or "box," traps. A box trap may seem harmless, but once the door shuts on this mesh wire cage, a bear, bobcat, or mountain lion caught inside is left defenseless. And under current law, these furbearing animals captured in live traps cannot be moved from the capture site without a permit, and must actually be killed or released on site when the trap is checked. Even though fur sales are plummeting across the world, these animals are still highly profitable to trappers—who, for example, still target Colorado's bobcats for their soft belly fur, which is sold in international markets.	
Will you support legislation to prohibit the trapping of animals for their fur?	
Yes No Not Sure	

orphaned are vulnerable to their natural predators, or to mauling by the same dogs. In turn, the dogs involved can suffer broken bones, punctured lungs, or other serious injuries, and are frequently dumped at municipal animal shelters or left in the woods if they do not perform adequately. It is already illegal to hound hunt bears in Colorado—voters chose to outlaw the practice by ballot measure in 1992, with the support of sportsmen who view the use of hounds as unsportsmanlike, defeating the purpose of "fair chase." Will you support legislation to outlaw the cruel hounding of bobcats and mountain lions in Colorado? No Not Sure Yes Appointments to State Agencies: Colorado state agencies are responsible for a wide range of policymaking, with immeasurable effects on the state's animal populations and wildlife habitats. For example, the Colorado Parks and Wildlife Commission engages in key decision-making over protections for critically important species; wildlife management; the issuance of hunting licenses; and the establishment of harvest limits for hunters. However, it is required by statute that people representing the oil, gas, agriculture, and sportsperson industries fill designated seats as commissioners, with one seat allocated to a representative of a non-profit organization. This stark imbalance invites special interests to skew policy in their favor and away from pro-animal initiatives. Instead, the commission should host a diverse group of perspectives, representing the broad interests of the public. Will you support legislation to amend the statute mandating the appointment of Parks and Wildlife commissioners backed by certain special interests, instead allowing the Governor to appoint a diverse range of experts to serve on the commission? ____ Not Sure No Yes FARM ANIMAL PROTECTION AND FOOD SAFETY Fashion: On fur factory farms in the U.S. and around the world, millions of rabbits, foxes, mink, and other wild animals spend their entire lives in cramped cages, deprived of the ability to engage in natural behaviors—only to be crudely gassed or electrocuted at the end. These farms have also proven to be dangerous breeding grounds for a variety of diseases transmissible to humans, such as avian influenza. Prohibiting the sales of new fur products will support efforts to end this cruel industry, while still allowing businesses to sell vintage or used fur products and to continue services caring for fur products, such as storage and cleaning. Will you support legislation to prohibit the sale of new products containing fur from animals? Yes No Not Sure Plant-Based Options: Americans eat more meat per person than any other country. Our habit is leading to poor health, environmental degradation, and the suffering of billions of animals every year. Each of us can make a difference by actively choosing to reduce our consumption of inhumanely produced animal products, refine the items in our shopping cart, and replace animal products with plant-based alternatives, "Clean meat" like the "Beyond" and "Impossible Burger" is becoming increasingly common on menus across the country due to consumer demand. Plant-based meat continues to emerge as the highly competitive, humane option of the future.

Hound Hunting: Hound hunting is a tactic in which packs of dogs pursue exhausted, frightened animals until they seek refuge in a tree—where they are then shot—or turn to fight to the death. After this gruesome conflict, any babies left

Will you support funding for research and innovation in alternative proteins?

____ No ___ Not Sure

Yes

ANIMALS IN RESEARCH

Cosmetics Testing: Humane and safe cosmetics can be made using the thousands of existing ingredients that have already been approved, and several non-animal safety tests are already available for new ingredients. These non-animal alternatives not only save countless animals, but can be cheaper, faster, and more relevant to human biology, and therefore more reliable at predicting safety. Countries with a collective 1.7 billion consumers, including India and those in the European Union, already prohibit the sale of cosmetics tested on animals, and global companies are meeting this demand with cruelty-free products. California, Hawaii, Illinois, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, Oregon, and Virginia prohibit new animal testing for cosmetics safety substantiation, and it's expected more states will consider legislation.

Will you support legislation to prohibit the manufacture and sale of newly animal-tested cosmetics?
YesNoNot Sure
Retirement: Tens of thousands of dogs are used in research facilities in the U.S. each year, each subjected to a life of cruelty and abuse. Those who have adopted former research dogs and cats can attest to the resilience and affection of these animals once they are given the chance to flourish in a home environment. Far too many research facilities still don't have policies to adopt out healthy animals after testing is complete. Sixteen states have successfully instituted adoption laws for research dogs and cats: Washington, Oregon, California, Nevada, Minnesota, Illinois, Iowa, New York, Virginia, Delaware, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Maryland, New Jersey, and Michigan.
Will you support legislation to require research facilities to offer cats and dogs no longer used for experimentation or research purposes to animal rescue organizations for adoption?
Yes No Not Sure
Transparency: The federal government currently requests basic information about facilities that experiment on animals. Concerningly, several species are completely exempted from these reporting requirements. As we continue to develop more nonanimal research alternatives, we need to understand the full scope of animal testing that has taken place in our state.
Will you support legislation requiring facilities to disclose information to the state describing how many animals they have what species are being used, and the purposes of their animal experiments?
Yes No Not Sure

Thank you for your time! Please return the completed questionnaire to:

Humane Society Legislative Fund 1255 23rd St NW, Suite 455 Washington, D.C. 20037 Phone: (202) 676-2314 Email: info@hslf.org

Rev 4/12/24